



## **Query Processing**

## **Practice Exercises**

- 15.1 Assume (for simplicity in this exercise) that only one tuple fits in a block and memory holds at most three blocks. Show the runs created on each pass of the sort-merge algorithm when applied to sort the following tuples on the first attribute: (kangaroo, 17), (wallaby, 21), (emu, 1), (wombat, 13), (platypus, 3), (lion, 8), (warthog, 4), (zebra, 11), (meerkat, 6), (hyena, 9), (hornbill, 2), (baboon, 12).
- **15.2** Consider the bank database of Figure 15.14, where the primary keys are underlined, and the following SQL query:

select T.branch\_name
from branch T, branch S
where T.assets > S.assets and S.branch\_city = "Brooklyn"

Write an efficient relational-algebra expression that is equivalent to this query. Justify your choice.

branch(<u>branch\_name</u>, branch\_city, assets) customer (<u>customer\_name</u>, customer\_street, customer\_city) loan (<u>loan\_number</u>, branch\_name, amount) borrower (<u>customer\_name</u>, <u>loan\_number</u>) account (<u>account\_number</u>, branch\_name, balance) depositor (<u>customer\_name</u>, <u>account\_number</u>)

## 48 Chapter 15 Query Processing

- **15.3** Let relations  $r_1(A, B, C)$  and  $r_2(C, D, E)$  have the following properties:  $r_1$  has 20,000 tuples,  $r_2$  has 45,000 tuples, 25 tuples of  $r_1$  fit on one block, and 30 tuples of  $r_2$  fit on one block. Estimate the number of block transfers and seeks required using each of the following join strategies for  $r_1 \bowtie r_2$ :
  - a. Nested-loop join.
  - b. Block nested-loop join.
  - c. Merge join.
  - d. Hash join.
- **15.4** The indexed nested-loop join algorithm described in Section 15.5.3 can be inefficient if the index is a secondary index and there are multiple tuples with the same value for the join attributes. Why is it inefficient? Describe a way, using sorting, to reduce the cost of retrieving tuples of the inner relation. Under what conditions would this algorithm be more efficient than hybrid merge join?
- 15.5 Let r and s be relations with no indices, and assume that the relations are not sorted. Assuming infinite memory, what is the lowest-cost way (in terms of I/O operations) to compute  $r \bowtie s$ ? What is the amount of memory required for this algorithm?
- **15.6** Consider the bank database of Figure 15.14, where the primary keys are underlined. Suppose that a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on *branch\_city* is available on relation *branch*, and that no other index is available. List different ways to handle the following selections that involve negation:
  - a.  $\sigma_{\neg(branch\_city<``Brooklyn")}(branch)$
  - b.  $\sigma_{\neg(branch\_city="Brooklyn")}(branch)$
  - c.  $\sigma_{\neg(branch\_city<"Brooklyn" \lor assets<5000)}(branch)$
- **15.7** Write pseudocode for an iterator that implements indexed nested-loop join, where the outer relation is pipelined. Your pseudocode must define the standard iterator functions *open()*, *next()*, and *close()*. Show what state information the iterator must maintain between calls.
- **15.8** Design sort-based and hash-based algorithms for computing the relational division operation (see Practice Exercise 2.9 for a definition of the division operation).
- **15.9** What is the effect on the cost of merging runs if the number of buffer blocks per run is increased while overall memory available for buffering runs remains fixed?
- **15.10** Consider the following extended relational-algebra operators. Describe how to implement each operation using sorting and using hashing.

- a. Semijoin  $(\ltimes_{\theta})$ : The multiset semijoin operator  $r \ltimes_{\theta} s$  is defined as follows: if a tuple  $r_i$  appears *n* times in *r*, it appears *n* times in the result of  $r \ltimes_{\theta}$ if there is at least one tuple  $s_j$  such that  $r_i$  and  $s_j$  satisfy predicate  $\theta$ ; otherwise  $r_i$  does not appear in the result.
- b. Anti-semijoin  $(\overline{\ltimes}_{\theta})$ : The multiset anti-semijoin operator  $r\overline{\ltimes}_{\theta}s$  is defined as follows: if a tuple  $r_i$  appears *n* times in *r*, it appears *n* times in the result of  $r\ltimes_{\theta}$  if there does not exist any tuple  $s_j$  in *s* such that  $r_i$  and  $s_j$  satisfy predicate  $\theta$ ; otherwise  $r_i$  does not appear in the result.
- **15.11** Suppose a query retrieves only the first K results of an operation and terminates after that. Which choice of demand-driven or producer-driven pipelining (with buffering) would be a good choice for such a query? Explain your answer.
- **15.12** Current generation CPUs include an *instruction cache*, which caches recently used instructions. A function call then has a significant overhead because the set of instructions being executed changes, resulting in cache misses on the instruction cache.
  - a. Explain why producer-driven pipelining with buffering is likely to result in a better instruction cache hit rate, as compared to demand-driven pipelining.
  - b. Explain why modifying demand-driven pipelining by generating multiple results on one call to *next()*, and returning them together, can improve the instruction cache hit rate.
- **15.13** Suppose you want to find documents that contain at least k of a given set of n keywords. Suppose also you have a keyword index that gives you a (sorted) list of identifiers of documents that contain a specified keyword. Give an efficient algorithm to find the desired set of documents.
- **15.14** Suggest how a document containing a word (such as "leopard") can be indexed such that it is efficiently retrieved by queries using a more general concept (such as "carnivore" or "mammal"). You can assume that the concept hierarchy is not very deep, so each concept has only a few generalizations (a concept can, however, have a large number of specializations). You can also assume that you are provided with a function that returns the concept for each word in a document. Also suggest how a query using a specialized concept can retrieve documents using a more general concept.
- **15.15** Explain why the nested-loops join algorithm (see Section 15.5.1) would work poorly on a database stored in a column-oriented manner. Describe an alternative algorithm that would work better, and explain why your solution is better.

## 50 Chapter 15 Query Processing

- **15.16** Consider the following queries. For each query, indicate if column-oriented storage is likely to be beneficial or not, and explain why.
  - a. Fetch ID, *name* and *dept\_name* of the student with ID 12345.
  - b. Group the *takes* relation by *year* and *course\_id*, and find the total number of students for each (*year*, *course\_id*) combination.