Other Relational Languages

Exercises

- **5.1 Answer:** The *participated* relation relates car(s) and accidents. Assume the *date* attribute is of the form "YYYY-MM-DD".
 - **a.** Find the total number of people who owned cars that were involved in accidents in 1989.

	accident	report-	numbe	r date	locati	ion	
		_rej	port	_date			
participated	driver-	id	car	report-nı	ımber	damage	-amount
	P.CNT.UN	Q.ALL		_repo	rt		
		C	onditio	ns			
		_date = (≥ 1989-00-00					
				00 and			

b. Find the number of accidents in which the cars belonging to "John Smith" were involved.

person	driver-id	name	address
	_driver	John Smith	

 \leq 1989-12-31)

par	ticipated	driver-id	car	report-number	damage-amount
		_driver		P.CNT.ALL	[

25

c. Add a new accident to the database; assume any values for required attributes.

We assume that the driver was "Williams", although it could have been someone else. Also assume that "Williams" has only one Toyota.

accident	report-number	date	location
I.	4007	1997-01-01	Berkeley

participated	driver-id	car	report-number	damage-amount
I.	_driver	_license	4007	3000

owns	driver-id	license
	_driver	_license

	ca	r	license	year	ma	odel	
			_license	_year	Тоу	/ota	
1	person		driver-id	nan	1e	addre	SS
			_driver	Willia	ms		

d. Delete the car "Mazda" that belongs to "John Smith."

person	driver-id	name	address
	_driver	John Smith	

owns	driver-id	license
	_driver	_license

car	license	year	model
D.	_license		Mazda

e. Update the damage amount for the car with license number "AABB2000" in the accident with report number "AR2197" to \$3000.

owns	driver-id	license
	_driver	"AABB2000"

participated	driver-id	car	report-number	damage-amount
	_driver		"AR2197"	U.3000

5.3 Answer:

a. Find all employees who earn more than the average salary of all employees of their company.

works	person-name	company-name	salary
	Р.	- <i>y</i>	_X
		_ <i>y</i>	_Z
	con	ditions	
		ICALL -	

The following solution assumes that all people work for at most one company.

b. Find the company that has the most employees.

works	person-name	company-name	salary
	_X	P.G.	
	_ <i>y</i>	G.	

conditions
CNT.UNQ. $\underline{x} \ge$ MAX.CNT.UNQ.ALL. \underline{y}

c. Find the company that has the smallest payroll.

works	person-name	company-name	salary
		P.G.	_X
		G.	_y

conditions
SUM.ALL. $x \leq$ MIN.SUM.ALL. y

d. Find those companies whose employees earn a higher salary, on average, than the average salary at First Bank Corporation.

works	person-name	company-name	salary
		P.G.	_X
		First Bank Corporation	_y
		conditions	
	AVG.AL	$L_x > AVG.ALL_y$	

5.5 Answer:

a. $\Pi_A(r)$ i.

ii. query(X) := r(X, Y, Z)

b. $\sigma_{B=17}(r)$

c. $r \times s$ **i.**

resultABCDEFP._a_b_c_d_e_f
$$r$$
ABC a _b_c

ii. query(X, Y, Z, U, V, W) := r(X, Y, Z), s(U, V, W)

d. $\Pi_{A,F} \left(\sigma_{C = D}(r \times s) \right)$ **i.**

result	A	F
Р.	_a	_f

r	A	В	C
	<u>_</u> a		_C

	s	D	E	F
		_C		_f
ii. $query(X, Y) := r(X, V, W),$	s(W, Z	(X, Y))

5.7 Answer:

a.
$$\{ < a > | \exists b (< a, b > \in r \land b = 17) \}$$

i.

r	A	В
	P.	17

ii. query(X) := r(X, 17)

b.
$$\{ < a, b, c > | < a, b > \in r \land < a, c > \in s \}$$

r	A	B
	_a	_b
s	A	C

ii. query(X, Y, Z) :- r(X, Y), s(X, Z)c. $\{ < a > | \exists c (< a, c > \in s \land \exists b_1, b_2 (< a, b_1 > \in r \land < c, b_2 > \in r \land b_1 > b_2)) \}$

i.

a	>_s
с	S
	~

ii. query(X) := s(X, Y), r(X, Z), r(Y, W), Z > W

5.8 Answer:

a. Find all employees who work (directly or indirectly) under the manager "Jones".

$$\begin{array}{l} query\left(X\right) \coloneqq p\left(X\right) \\ p\left(X\right) \coloneqq manages\left(X, \text{ "Jones"}\right) \\ p\left(X\right) \coloneqq manages\left(X,Y\right), \ p\left(Y\right) \end{array}$$

b. Find all cities of residence of all employees who work (directly or indirectly) under the manager "Jones".

query(X, C) :- p(X), employee(X, S, C)
p(X) :- manages(X, "Jones")
p(X) :- manages(X, Y), p(Y)

c. Find all pairs of employees who have a (direct or indirect) manager in common.

query(X, Y) :- p(X, W), p(Y, W) p(X, Y) :- manages(X, Y) p(X, Y) :- manages(X, Z), p(Z, Y)

d. Find all pairs of employees who have a (direct or indirect) manager in common, and are at the same number of levels of supervision below the common manager.

query(X, Y) :- p(X, Y) p(X, Y) :- manages(X, Z), manages(Y, Z) p(X, Y) :- manages(X, V), manages(Y, W), p(V, W)

5.10 Answer: A Datalog rule has two parts, the *head* and the *body*. The body is a comma separated list of *literals*. A *positive literal* has the form $p(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ where *p* is the name of a relation with *n* attributes, and $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$ are either constants or variables. A *negative literal* has the form $\neg p(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ where *p* has *n* attributes. In the case of arithmetic literals, *p* will be an arithmetic operator like >, = etc.

We consider only safe rules; see Section 5.2.4 for the definition of safety of Datalog rules. Further, we assume that every variable that occurs in an arithmetic literal also occurs in a positive non-arithmetic literal.

Consider first a rule without any negative literals. To express the rule as an extended relational-algebra view, we write it as a join of all the relations referred to in the (positive) non-arithmetic literals in the body, followed by a selection. The selection condition is a conjunction obtained as follows. If $p_1(X, Y)$, $p_2(Y, Z)$ occur in the body, where p_1 is of the schema (A, B) and p_2 is of the schema (C, D), then $p_1.B = p_2.C$ should belong to the conjunction. The arithmetic literals can then be added to the condition.

As an example, the Datalog query

becomes the following relational-algebra expression:

 $E_{1} = \sigma_{(w1.company-name = w2.company-name \land w1.salary > w2.salary \land manages.person-name = w1.person-name \land manages.manager-name = w2.person-name) \\ (\rho_{w1}(works) \times \rho_{w2}(works) \times manages)$

Now suppose the given rule has negative literals. First suppose that there are no constants in the negative literals; recall that all variables in a negative literal must also occur in a positive literal. Let $\neg q(X, Y)$ be the first negative literal, and let it be of the schema (E, F). Let E_i be the relational algebra expression obtained after all positive and arithmetic literals have been handled. To handle this negative literal, we generate the expression

$$E_j = E_i \bowtie (\Pi_{A_1,A_2}(E_i) - q)$$

where A_1 and A_2 are the attribute names of two columns in E_i which correspond to *X* and *Y* respectively.

Now let us consider constants occurring in a negative literal. Consider a negative literal of the form $\neg q(a, b, Y)$ where *a* and *b* are constants. Then, in the above expression defining E_j we replace *q* by $\sigma_{A_1=a \wedge A_2=b}(q)$.

Proceeding in a similar fashion, the remaining negative literals are processed, finally resulting in an expression E_w .

Finally the desired attributes are projected out of the expression. The attributes in E_w corresponding to the variables in the head of the rule become the projection attributes.

Thus our example rule finally becomes the view:-

create view query as

 $\Pi_{w1.person-name, w2.person-name}(E_2)$

If there are multiple rules for the same predicate, the relational-algebra expression defining the view is the union of the expressions corresponding to the individual rules.

The above conversion can be extended to handle rules that satisfy some weaker forms of the safety conditions, and where some restricted cases where the variables in arithmetic predicates do not appear in a positive non-arithmetic literal.